Vocabulary Strategies Activity

LEVELS 15, 18, 21

What are Vocabulary Strategies?

Vocabulary strategies are the cognitive steps students can follow to unlock the meanings of unknown words as they read. These cognitive steps involve recognizing that a word is unfamiliar, looking for information that will support an inference into its meaning, hypothesizing that meaning, and then checking the hypothesis against context. At least two sources of information can support students as they infer meaning in context: (1) morphology (the meaningful parts inside words, such as prefixes, suffixes, and base words); and (2) context clues (Ebbers, 2017; Goodwin & Perkins, 2015; Kieffer & Lesaux, 2007). In Lexia* Core5* Reading, morphological awareness and context clues are taught as two integrated vocabulary strategies.

Why focus on Vocabulary Strategies?

It has been estimated that students must learn approximately three thousand new words each year to keep pace with grade-level curricula (Nagy, Anderson, & Herman, 1987). While impossible or impractical to provide direct instruction in every word, instruction can support students to be excellent, independent word learners.

Recent research conducted with linguistically diverse populations shows a reciprocal relationship between morphological awareness and reading comprehension (Levesque, Kieffer, & Deacon, 2017; Kieffer & Lesaux, 2008; Kirby et al., 2012; Nagy et al., 2006; Kieffer & Lesaux, 2012). Morphological awareness allows students to analyze the meaningful parts of unfamiliar words and infer their meanings. This, in turn, supports their ability to understand a text as a whole. Integrating the use of morphological clues with context clues provides a way to confirm the meaning of a morphologically complex word in context (Kieffer & Lesaux, 2007).

How does Lexia Core5 Reading address Vocabulary Strategies?

Levels 15, 18, and 21 of Lexia Core5 Reading each contain a Vocabulary Strategies activity. The activities integrate a set of instructional practices recommended by recent literacy research (Kieffer & Lesaux, 2007; Lesaux, Kieffer, Kelley, & Harris, 2014; Baumann et al., 2003; Goodwin & Perkins, 2015), and they each present a set of commonly used prefixes and suffixes that increase in complexity as students move up through the levels (Blevins, 2001). In the first two levels, the sequence begins with academic compound words. Following direct instruction in word parts, students engage in a series of scaffolded tasks with each affix set that builds a deep knowledge of the word parts and gradually supports the use of vocabulary strategies in the context of independent reading. Specifically, students combine word parts to create morphologically complex words that answer a question or complete a sentence; apply their morphological knowledge by inferring word meanings in sentences or distinguishing how morphologically similar words (e.g., *magnet, magnetic, magnetize*) are used differently in context; and apply the cognitive steps for inferring word meanings by integrating morphological awareness and context clues. Students also use their vocabulary strategies by reading and responding to informational passages with morphologically complex words that feature the affixes studied.

continued on next page













Works Cited

- Baumann, J., Edwards, E., Boland, E., Olejnik, S., & Kame'enui, E. (2003). Vocabulary tricks: Effects of instruction in morphology and context on fifth-grade students' ability to derive and infer word meanings. *American Educational Research Journal*, 40(2), 447-494.
- Blevins, W. (2001). Teaching phonics and word study in the intermediate grades: A complete sourcebook. New York: Scholastic.
- Ebbers, S. (2017). Morphological awareness strategies for the general and special education classroom: A Vehicle for Vocabulary Enhancement. *Perspectives on Language and Literacy*, 43(2), 29-34.
- Goodwin, A., & Perkins, J. (2015). Word detectives: Morphological instruction that supports academic language. *The Reading Teacher*, *68*(7), 510.
- Kieffer, M., & Lesaux, N. (2007). Breaking down words to build meaning: Morphology, vocabulary, and reading comprehension in the urban classroom. *The Reading Teacher*, 61(2), 134-144.
- Kieffer, M., & Lesaux, N. (2008). The role of derivational morphology in the reading comprehension of Spanish-speaking English language learners. *Reading and Writing, 21*(8), 783-804.
- Kieffer, M., & Lesaux, N. (2012). Knowledge of words, knowledge about words: Dimensions of vocabulary in first and second language learners in sixth grade. *Reading and Writing*, 25(2), 347-373.
- Kirby, J., Deacon, R., Bowers, S., Izenberg, H., Wade-Woolley, P., & Parrila, N. (2012). Children's morphological awareness and reading ability. *Reading and Writing*, 25(2), 389-410.
- Lesaux, N., Kieffer, M., Kelley, J., & Harris, J. (2014). Effects of academic vocabulary instruction for linguistically diverse adolescents: Evidence from a randomized field trial. *American Educational Research Journal*, *51*(6), 1159-1194.
- Levesque, N., Kieffer, M., & Deacon, R. (2017). Morphological awareness and reading comprehension: Examining mediating factors. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 160*, 1-20.
- Nagy, W., Anderson, R., & Herman, P. (1987). Learning word meanings from context during normal reading. *American Educational Research Journal*, 24(2), 237-270.
- Nagy, W., Berninger, V., & Abbott, R. (2006). Contributions of morphology beyond phonology to literacy outcomes of upper elementary and middle-school students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(1), 134-147.